

## Farmers perception on changes in natural resource base at Kathakakai settlement scheme, Machakos County, Kenya

M.W. Baaru, C.K.K. Gachene and V. Gathaara

Kenyatta University,  
P.O. Box 43844-00100 Nairobi, Kenya, bmwamuyu@gmail.com

### Abstract

This study analyzed changes in natural resources in Kathakakai settlement scheme, Machakos County using participatory resource mapping and attempted to discuss possible effects. The area, which was a ranching enterprise for nearly a hundred years, was sub divided in 1995 into individual farm holdings with average farm size of 2.5 hectares per household. Individual farmers opened-up the land for agricultural activities and other land developments. The results show that natural resources had decreased since the ranch became a settlement scheme in 1995. Farmers indicated that the natural forests decreased and have been replaced by exotic trees, vast land was cleared for cultivation, rivers, and dams have since dried-up while soil erosion has increased. A majority of farmers (98%) said they had observed a general change in the climate of the area. They cited declining crop production (29%), increased drought (15%), and increased temperatures (10%) as some of the major pointers to climate change. However, farmers adopted various adapting and coping strategies. Drought tolerant crops (25%), early maturing crops (17%), and water harvesting (14%) were some of the strategies adopted by farmers in response to cope with the emerging changes. The results also show that resource base management at the community level is still a challenge and a lot of investment needs to be done in this field for sustainable management.

**Key words:** land subdivision, human settlement, population growth, agricultural activities

### Introduction

Participatory Mapping is the creation of maps by local communities usually with the guidance of organizations e.g. government agencies, non-governmental organizations, universities, and actors interested in development and land planning. The activity provides a platform for a community to represent in visual terms a place and significant features within it. These features may range from natural physical features, resource and social cultural features known by the community.

Participatory Mapping is a powerful tool to good governance and this has led to increased use of this initiative for the last 20 years throughout the world (IFAD, 2009). It is a useful medium for communities to communicate land related information at present and future needs to government to better understand the community and environment (McCall, 2004). The exercise facilitates management of land, resources, and supports community advocacy on land related issues (Di Gessa, 2008). This is one of the best ways to 'empower' community, as participation prioritizes local decision-making and reinforces responsibilities. The ability of individual citizens and communities to share their understanding of the past, present and visions for the future is an important pre-requisite to informed planning and, through this, to building a consensus on complex issues such as sustainable development (Curwell and Hamilton, 2003). Through maps, communities are able to communicate long but invisible history of managing resources. The process hence assists the community to articulate and communicate desired management plans to local or regional planners, which could enable the community to access productive natural resources and promote decentralized management of resources (Aberley, 1993). Participatory Mapping therefore contributes to planning and management of local resources by enabling the community information to be incorporated and compared with government planning information and processes (IFAD, 2009).



In a number of cases worldwide, communities have succeeded to demand for legal recognition resource rights through maps. For instance; in Guyana, Amerindian people claimed ancestral land titles (Griffiths, 2002) as a result of Participatory Mapping of resources through Participatory Geographical Information Systems (PGIS); the Zuni pueblo of New Mexico prepared digital maps of 'non-graphic descriptions' of their appropriated lands to receive a quarter of a million acres as compensation (Marozas, 1991). In the Philippines, claiming Ancestral Domain Title is conditional on preparing a resource management map for the area (Rambaldi and Callosa-Tarr, 2002); and in Indonesia, through Participatory Mapping it was possible to identify traditional village territories and competing rights claims (Sirait *et al.* 1994), that were crucial for planning. Furtherstill, the Ogeik, Sengwer and Yaiku indigenous communities in Kenya were able to initiate their own ancestral land rights, cultural rights and natural land resource management projects after a participatory resource mapping exercise carried out in 2006 (Muchemi *et.al.* 2009).

This study sought to use farmers' knowledge to determine changes in natural resources including land, water, forests and soil and, assess strategies used by community to cope with these changes.

## **Methods of study**

### ***Study site***

The study was carried out at Katheka-kai Settlement Scheme, in Machakos County of Kenya. The area, which was a ranch for nearly a hundred years, was sub divided in 1995 into individual farm holdings with average farm size of 2.5 ha per household. The total population as per 2009 census was about 15000 individual farmers. They opened-up the land (cutting trees and shrubs) for agricultural activities and other land developments. The climate in Machakos County is typically semi-arid with mean annual temperatures of 15-25°C and mean annual rainfall of 700 mm. Rainfall distribution is bimodal with the long rains starting from March and ending in May, and short rains from November/December to early January, recording average seasonal rainfall of between 300-400mm and 310mm respectively. Short rains are more reliable than the long rains and therefore most important for agricultural production.

### ***Study methodology***

Data was collected through focus group discussion (FGDs) during which a resource mapping exercise was also carried out. The discussions were conducted in a free environment where the participants gave their comments and asked questions. Mulwa and Nguluu (2003) have recommended a similar approach of collecting information using FGDs. Most of the studies on social economic dynamics as well as natural resource management employ FGDs (Odimegwu, 2000). In this study, FGDs were used to establish changes that have taken place since the first people settled in Kathekaka in 1995. A Participatory Rapid Appraisal exercise involving 30 farmers (13 men and 17 women) from Kathekakai location was conducted through FGDs and resource mapping. Based on the objective of the study, two FGDs consisting of 12 members each were formed. The first group consisted of farmers who settled before year 2000 and who drew the map of Kathekakai as they found it when they first settled. The second group had farmers who settled after year 2000 and they drew a map showing the current resource situation of the area. Household interviews were carried out, with 62 farmers (36% men and 64% women) who expressed their views on changes that have taken place and the coping strategies used. A comparison of the two sketch maps drawn was made based on resource availability.

## **Results**

### ***Farmers' characteristics***

According to the farmers, the farm was initially a co-operative society. The enterprise was poorly managed and divided to individual share holders and hence, the land is now under private ownership. About 71% of the land is owned by men. Women own 8% mostly through succession after death of the husband. The rest (21%) is under family ownership. Although this is a farming community (92%), most households derive their income from casual labor (37%), business (25%), formal employment (18%), sale of farm produce (11%) and remittances (9%).

### ***Resource availability at the time of settlement***

Different types of old natural and traditional trees and shrubs were available at the time of settlement. A range of Acacia tree varieties was common in the area. The rivers that passed through the area had clean, safe drinking water and that the rivers flowed throughout the year. Big earth dams for water harvesting had been constructed and wind vanes were used to pump water into well established water tanks throughout the ranch, both for livestock and human drinking. The roads, though not many were well maintained during that time.

### ***Resource changes***

Presently, the scenario has changed as most of the resources are no longer in existence, and even where they exist, they are in poor condition. The number of people settling is increasing year after year, a situation farmers associated to its proximity to Nairobi, the capital of Kenya.

This has led to more land being cleared to pave way for cultivation and other developments. Natural trees have also been cut down to cater for various uses including building, firewood and charcoal, and have been replaced by exotic trees such as grevillea. Farmers reported the opening of new land and cutting down of trees as major contributing factors to increased soil erosion that has led to declining land productivity, a situation that has increased food insecurity and poverty in the area. This was observed this during household interviews between september and october, 2009 where school going children stayed at home due to hunger. This was later confirmed when during one of the meetings, the Ministry of Agriculture staff was seen distributing food and planting seeds to the farmers.

Rivers that used to be annual had become seasonal and anymore. Most households either went a long distance to draw water or bought from people who have either dug boreholes or constructed dams. The trend, according to the residents was worrying as the ever increasing population had forced people to settle on mountains, hills and cultivate along the riverines which essentially interfered with river water source and flow. This, according to residents had accelerated the rate of soil erosion and s caused most of the river water to be unfit for consumption. The activities had also affected the transport system as most roads got filled up with mud from soils eroded from the hills rendering them impassable.

### ***Farming systems***

Farmers in this area practiced mixed farming with about 45% of them practicing crop production. However, farming systems had changed with time. Although a larger number of farmers grew traditional crops the traditional crops such as sweet popato (19%), cassava (16%) , sorghum (15%), green grams (9%), millet (8%), a few able were abandoning them for modern and high value crops fruits and vegTables mostly for economic purposes.

Livestock about 31% and hence an important component of the farming systems in this area, highly contributing to food (40.2%), income (33.5%), manure (12.3%) and family labour (7.9%). Inorder of importance farmers p poultry (mostly local chicken), cows, sheep, goats bulls and oxen. From an area that was 100% free range grazing system, other systems since come to play semi- grazing (33.9%) and zero-grazing (19%). Residents identified land and subdivision as the main cause of these changes. However, free range and semi-grazing systems also accounts for increased soil erosion as large numbers of livestock usually on land with very low vegetation.

Farm agroforestry (14%) is also an important farming practice system in Katheka-kai. About 64% of farmers planted different trees agroforestry trees such as *Grevillea robusta* and *Melia volkensii* (34%), fruit trees mangos, citrus and tree tomatoes (4.9%), and leguminous trees such as *Calliandra calothyrsus* (2.4%). The trees planted for various reasons including windbreaking (12%), shade (11%) and firewood (10%). Fruits are planted for household use as well as income generation.

### ***Coping strategies***

Most (98%) of the farmers believed that climate had changed with time. Some factors identified as contributing to change include cutting trees, clearing land for cultivation, sand harvesting, increased

population, increased fuelwood demand and lack of planting trees,. They agreed that this change had decreased crop production (29%), increased drought and temperatures (15% and 10% respectively). However, farmers have adopted measures to adapt and cope with climate change (Table 1).

**Table 1:** Coping strategies to change

Coping strategy	% of respondents
Drought resistant crops	25
Early maturing crops	17
Water harvesting	14
De-stocking	10
Conservation agriculture	6
Irrigation	5
Off-farm employment	8
Change of livestock breeds	3

## Discussions

Changes in resources have been observed at Kathekakai location, Machakos District since 1995 when land was subdivided to private owners. The new settlers were at liberty to use the land in a way to get maximum benefits. It has been reported that when individual members acquire private land with title deeds, they get rights to make land use decisions based on the returns (Mundia and Muranyan, 2009; Serneels S. and Lambin E. (2001). According to Mundia and Muranyan (2009), changing land tenure policy results in expansion of agricultural land. Due to its proximity to Nairobi, the capital city of Kenya, the area has continued to attract a big population as a periurban area. Farmers at Katheka-kai location have continued to clear more land and cut down trees to pave way for agricultural land to meet demands for the households as well as for the ever increasing population. Therefore, small farmers are forced to work harder, often on shrinking farm sizes on marginal land, to maintain household incomes. A study carried out by Laukkonen *et al*, 2009 reported population growth as a major driver of environmental change in Africa, causing significant impacts on the natural resource base with the primary and most direct impact as land cover change mainly through opening of new land for agriculture, and other developments. Work carried out in the same area also confirms this (Gathaara *et.al* 2010).

The area has witnessed changes in farming systems. Only a few able farmers have abandoned local and traditional crops and adopted those deemed to have high returns and preferred by the swelling population. The very few wealthy farmers embarked on irrigated agriculture and green house farming. It has been reported that population growth shapes patterns of production and consumption in the world usually by increasing demand for food, water, arable land, fuel wood, and other amenities (UNEP, 2008), and hence determines the farming systems in an area. However, the increased agricultural activities lead to increased encroachment into forests and woodlands, soil erosion and infertility and ultimately food insecurity and increased poverty levels (MOA, 2008). As good as these activities are in sustaining household livelihoods in the short-run, if poorly managed they may have detrimental impacts on environmental resources. For example felling of trees for agricultural land and timber products, settlement on mountain have left watersheds bare, threatening the water catchment functions of forested watersheds (MOA, 2009). Extensive economic activities and population congestion has increased pressure on water for various uses including domestic, livestock and industrial use, among others, causing water allocation and use conflicts. This may result in natural resource base degradation, which in turn impinges on the livelihoods, with most of the consequences more pronounced in the rural communities (Laukkonen *et al*, 2009).

With climate change setting in, diversification in the agricultural activities becomes paramount to cushion against adverse effects. It has been indicated that in the absence of alternative opportunities, lack of sustainable management of natural resource and alternative opportunities to meet the needs of the increasing population results in environmental degradation and resource depletion (Laukkonen *et al*, 2009). Farmers in this area are taking a precaution by adopting some of the coping strategies drought tolerant crops, early maturing crops, water harvesting, and de-stocking. However, a lot of advocacy on mitigation and adaptation strategies should be done for increased adoption rate.

### **Conclusion and recommendations**

The process of resource mapping seemed to open the mind of farmers to understand the past, present and future situation, and the problems facing the community. Decreasing trend in natural resource base after settlement was witnessed and associated with increased population and poor management especially of communal resources. Farmers in this area are aware of environmental change though issues on mitigation and coping strategies need to be addressed. Most of the farmers still rely on their past farming experience and this poses a great challenge to sustainable development of the study area.

### **Acknowledgement**

We highly appreciate Regional University Forum (RUFORUM) National Council for Science and Technology (NCST) for the financial support towards this study.

### **References**

- Aberley, D. (1993). Boundaries of home: Mapping for local empowerment. Cabrida Island, New Society Publisher.
- Curwell, S. and A. Hamilton (2003): The Intelcity roadmap. Report of the EU Intelcity project IST-2001-37373.
- Griffiths T (2002). Guyana: empowerment of indigenous peoples through participatory mapping. World Rainforest Movement Bulletin No. 62.
- IFAD, 2009. Good practices in participatory mapping. A review prepared for international fund for agriculture, Rome, Italy.
- Laukkonen, J., Blanco, K.P., Lenhar P., Keiner, M., Cavric B and Kinuthia-Njenga, C. (2009). Combining climate change adaptation and mitigation measures at the local level. *Habitat International*. Vol 33, issue 3, P: 287-292.
- Marozas B A (1991). The role of GIS in American Indian land and water rights litigation American Indian Culture and Research Journal 15 77-93.
- McCall M. (2004). Can participatory GIS strengthen local level planning? Suggestions for better practice. 7<sup>th</sup> International Conference on GIS for developing countries. University Technology Malaysia.
- MoA. (Unpublished report). (2008). Annual report. Katheka-kai location, Central Division, Machakos District.
- MoA. (Unpublished report). (2009). Participatory rural appraisal report. Katheka-kai location, Central Division, Machakos District.
- Muchemi J., Crawhall N., Pedone G., Koinante J., Kiptum Y., Kuria K. 2009. Kenya case study: Participatory 3-Dimensional Modelling; Published in African Indigenous Peoples' Workshop on effective use of Information Communication Technology (ICTs) in Environmental Advocacy, IPACC/CTA, Cape Town, South Africa.
- Mundia CN. and Murayama Y. (2009). Analysis of land use/cover changes and animal population dynamic in a wildlife sanctuary in East Africa. *Journal.Remote Sens.* 1, 952-970.
- Odimegwu C.O. (2000). Methodological issues in the use of focus group discussion as a data collection



- tool. *Journal of social sciences*. 4(2-3): 207-212.
- Rambaldi G and Callosa-Tarr J (2002). Participatory 3-dimensional modelling: guiding principles and applications ASEAN Regional Centre for Biodiversity Conservation (ARCBC), Los Banos.
- Serneels S. and Lambin E. (2001). Impact of land use changes on the wildbeest migration in the Northern part of the Serengeti Ecosystem. *Journal. Biogeogr.* 28, 391-407.
- Sirait M T, Prasodjo S, Podger N, Flavelle A and Fox J 1994 Mapping customary land in East Kalimantan, Indonesia: *a tool for forest management Ambio* 23 411-17.
- UNEP, 2008. Environmental change and socioeconomic factors in Africa. In: Encyclopedia of Earth. Eds. Cutler J. Cleveland, Washington, D.C.: Environmental Information Coalition, National Council for Science and the Environment.